IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPLICATION NO.1197 OF 2005
IN
WRIT PETITION NO.10077 OF 2004

A/W
REVIEW PETITION (STAMP) NO.6226 OF 2005
IN
WRIT PETITION NO.10077 OF 2004

Shri Sitaram Kundalik Bamale ... Applicant/ Petitioner

V/s.

Union of India & Ors. ... Respondents

Mr.G.B. Badiger for Applicant/Petitioner

CORAM: **V.G. PALSHIKAR & SMT.NISHITA MHATRE, JJ.**

DATED: **MAY 5, 2005**

P.C.:

. In view of the fact that the order sought to be restored was passed on merits, the application for restoration is rejected. The application for review petition is taken up for consideration.

2. Heard Counsel.

3. In view of the fact that the Petitioner has alternate, efficacious remedy of filing a suit and claiming all the reliefs, which he claims including

compensation before the appropriate Civil Court and a grievance is made that certain copies of certain documents are not supplied with, production of which can also be obtained in the Civil Court under the Civil Procedure Code, we see no reason to review the order impugned. Review Petition is also rejected.